EXHIBIT 272

1 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA 2 ATLANTA DIVISION 3 4 DONNA CURLING, ET AL., PLAINTIFFS, 5 DOCKET NUMBER 1:17-cv-2989-AT 6 7 BRAD RAFFENSPERGER, ET AL **DEFENDANTS.** 8 9 10 Transcript of telephone discovery conference 11 12 before the Honorable Amy Totenberg, united states district senior judge 13 April 7th, 2022 14 15 3:00 p.m. 16 17 18 19 Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, 20 transcript produced by computer. 21 22 Melissa A. Brock, CCR, RPR, RMR Federal Official Court Reporter 23 24 75 Ted Turner Drive, SW, Suite 1949-B Atlanta, Georgia 30303-3309 25

We now have it and it's going to be an important part of discovery that will bear on summary judgment. In part, your Honor, because we do think it bears on the issue of standing and entry. It certainly bears on merits. Because we are talking about potentially way beyond a vulnerability. This would be an actual potential compromise of the system.

And, your Honor, to the question of whether a vulnerability is enough, we anticipate their argument as Secretary Raffensberger himself has said publicly is vulnerability is not a big deal because we have all these protected measures in place to make sure the people don't get access to the system.

They criticized Dr. Holderman for saying he had time with voting equipment that someone would never have in the real world. Well, if this report is true, then there are individuals who've had actually much greater access than Dr. Holderman because Dr. Holderman did not get access to an EMS server, and they've had it for a very long time. So that certainly is going to bear on the core issues that we expect to address in summary judgment, your Honor.

MR. TYSON: Your Honor, this is Bryan Tyson. If I could just respond briefly on the Coffee County issue, because I think this is a very important allegation that is being made.

Now what we have right now is an election director in Coffee County who changed the password on the EMS, master

password, and then left her position of employment. The new staff couldn't access that server, and so just like the Secretary does when any -- there's any issue with a piece of equipment, the Secretary replaced the server with a new EMS and has the Coffee County EMS with the password that nobody knows at this point.

There was no indication or allegation, as we understand it, that there was any sort of compromise of breach of that system. The first indication was this phone call that was placed from Mr. Sterling in his deposition. It wasn't provided to us until after Mr. Sterling's deposition in its completeness between Mr. Hall and Ms. Marks.

Mr. Hall is somebody who testified to the Georgia State Senate Committee during the hearing for Mr. Giuliani also testified to that committee. And so in terms of the categorization of this as a credible allegation of someone accessing the system, as far as we know, that is the only basis for this claim that someone has accessed the Coffee County EMS.

As soon as we learned -- as soon as the Secretary learned that was the allegation being made, the Secretary has opened an investigation into the handling of that EMS server, and that investigation is ongoing, but I don't want to give more credence to things that there's something that the State is hiding in terms of access to this server. It was a

non-functioning piece of equipment that couldn't be accessed because of a password change, and a phone call from someone who testified that the Georgia State Senate Committee, along with Mr. Giuliani is the only basis for an allegation that there had been some breach or access to the system.

So at this point, we don't know more than that, but I don't want to let that sit out there as if there is some issue that is being covered up by the State on this point.

I think this, again, emphasizes that -- the point of addressing the issues in this case was to addressing number 1, the generalized grievance of the plaintiffs here. But number 2, this is not just an opportunity for the plaintiffs to conduct a wide-ranging audit of the Georgia election system if they can't show a valid claim.

And so, again, the plaintiffs have had every opportunity. They've DREs for years now and have not presented any evidence of malware. They have had access to the Dominion equipment and you have Dr. Holderman's report on that. You know what their allegations are there.

So anyway, we filed the call, your Honor, just for the completeness of the record. It was too large to file on the docket as an audio file. We filed that this afternoon, just so you'd have that call as well. You can listen to that.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. TYSON: I want to make sure that was clear.

THE COURT: All right, sir. So let me turn the conversation around a little bit to plaintiffs' counsel.

If -- I took a -- went back to my original understanding of what we were doing here in my order, in my written orders. It was to give you an opportunity to address the standing, but also to provide some record evidence to support your position. Because, otherwise, we just would be as if we were handling the question of standing on the basis of a mere compliant, and clearly the case has gone beyond simply having a mere complaint.

So what would be -- without going into a full-scale merits discussion, what would be, from your perspective, the kind of sine qua non for having some evidence in the record, without having a full merits blowout presentation?

MR. CROSS: Your honor, this is Davis Cross. I guess I'm completely through and I make sure I answer the question.

Are you talking where summary judgment is focused on standing or are you talking more broadly where it gets into the facts?

THE COURT: I'm talking about as articulated in the original discussions we had that even allowed this to move forward when the State wanted to move for standing, shortly -- again, in connection with the '20 election and thereafter, and you all vigorously basically opposed having just a -- solely another standing round of briefing. And, Mr. Cross, you in

the issue there. I disagree that it's an unusual circumstance to take possession of an EMS.

The Secretary's office was involved in the Center for Election Systems in the administration of that equipment on a regular basis. But I think that at the end of the day, what we have is the call from Mr. Hall as the sole basis for this concern that there was some sort of compromise of the system, an ongoing investigation. And if you need an affidavit to that affect or something along that line, I think we could provide that, but I don't think there's a need to go through an additional 30(b)6 witness on those topics along the way there.

And then for the issues Mr. McGuire issued there, I think that overall, I mean, I think we disagree about the legal effect of, for example, the Georgia Constitution secret ballot rights. But at the end of the day, what the voters are going to be able to show is a generalized grievance that's not particularized to them. And so I think there's not a whole lot more to say on that topic. You are familiar with that.

But with that, Mr. Miller, is there anything else I missed on those issues?

MR. MILLER: No.

Your Honor, this is Carey Miller. I just -- with respect to the investigative files, I would note the two things: First of all, the State does not believe this was ever

I do hereby certify that the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken down by me in the case aforesaid. This, the 12th day of April, 2022. /s/Melissa A. Brock Melissa Brock, CCR, RMR, RPR Official Court Reporter